



Licensing Authority
River Park House
Level 1 North
225 High Road
London
N22 8HQ

Licensing Unit
Edmonton Police Station
462 Fore Street,
London
N9 0PW
PC Derek Ewart 1277NA
Derek.Ewart2@met.pnn.police.uk
www.met.police.uk

7th May 2023

Police Representation

**APPLICATION FOR A TRANSFER OF A PREMISES LICENCE & TRANSFER OF A
DESIGNATED PREMISES SUPERVISOR
MAXXI FOOD & WINE, 42 TOPSFIELD PARADE, TOTTENHAM LANE, HORNSEY,
LONDON, N8 8PT.**

Dear Licensing Team,

This application is submitted by Ahmet Karagoz in order to both transfer the premises licence and to transfer the designated premises supervisor from the current holder of both Aylin Yengin into his name.

The premises situated at 42 Topsfield Parade are currently being reviewed under Section 51 of the Licensing Act 2003 by the licensing authority due to known issues and history of non-compliance by Aylin Yengin, under the licensing objectives of

- Prevention of crime and disorder
- Prevention of public nuisance
- Public safety
- Protection of Children From Harm

These premises and two others all of which have had known licensing issues are believed to be a family run business.

To that end it is believed (in the absence of any other information to the contrary) the applicant is a part of that family run business.

In order to clarify and nullify the applicants involvement in the family business information was requested in an email from the Police to Atlas Licensing (the applicants consultant) on the 2nd May 2023 (Email attached).

As of today the 7th May 2023 no reply to these questions has been received.

The home office revised guidance issued under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003 (December 2022)

Section 11.Reviews sub section 21 states:

“Licencing authorities should be alive to the possibility that the removal and replacement of the designated premises supervisor may be sufficient to remedy a problem where the cause of the identified problem directly relates to poor management decisions made by that individual”.

Sub Section 22 Further states:

“Equally, it may emerge that poor management is a direct reflection of poor company practise or policy and the mere removal of the designated premises supervisor may be an inadequate response to the problems presented. Indeed, where subsequent review hearings are generated by representations, it should be rare merely to remove a succession of designated premises supervisors as this would be a clear indication of deeper problems that impact upon the licensing objectives”.

It is our belief that this application is designed to give the impression that the removal of the current DPS (Currently subject of review) would remedy any issues at the premises and by transferring the premises into another’s name i.e. Give the impression it is “Under New Management”, this would like wise give the impression that there is no further involvement with the old management /Mismanagement practices.

Until such time as official evidence is provided demonstrating that Mr Ahmet Karagoz Is the sole owner of the business we the Police will object to this application.

I reserve the right to provide further information to support this representation.
Regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'D Ewart', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

PC Derek Ewart 1277NA
North Area Licensing Officer